

## UNITED STATE. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231



APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.
09/058,350	04/10/98	SIMMS	)	D	0942.3840001
•					EXAMINER
HM22/0303					
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX				KUNZ.	G RT UNIT PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600			•		TA ENTOMBEN
1100 NEW YO				1623	5
WASHINGTON	DC 20005-39	/34		1023	
•		DATE M	AILED <sub>03/03/99</sub>		

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS					
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-10-98	·				
This action is FINAL.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution</b> as accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	s to the merits is closed in				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire THOES whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the p the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained to 1.136(a).	eriod for response will cause				
Disposition of Claims					
∑ Claim(s) ) - 2 0	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	_is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
□ Claim(s)					
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	y the Examiner. is				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have be	een				
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) *Certified copies not received:	• • •				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	and the second s				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)3					
Interview Summary, PTO-413					
L Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
09/ 658,350 -SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	'A				
	+ U.S. GPO: 1996-421-637				

Application/Control Number: 09/058,350

Art Unit: 1623

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sambrook et al. (Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Second Edition; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, 1989) in view of Chomczynski (5,346,994).

Claims 1 - 11 are directed to an RNA isolation reagent comprising at least one nonionic detergent (0.1 - 1.0%), a chelating agent (20 - 250 mM), a phenol (10%-60%), and a phenol stabilizer (15-55%). Claim 12 is directed to a kit containing said RNA isolation reagent.

Claims 13 - 20 are directed to the method for isolation RNA using the above reagent.

Applicant acknowledges on page 2, third full paragraph, that Sambrook et al. discloses (sections 7.6-7.9) a method for isolating RNA comprising a non-ionic detergent, phenol, RNAse inhibitors, and no chaotropic agent. Sambrook et al. does not teach use of solubilizers nor the use of EDTA to inhibit RNA degradation. However, Chomczynski does teach the use of phenol (30%-50%) with a solubilizer (3% - 15%-) at claim 8. Chomczynski does not teach the use of a phenol stabilizer. However, DeBonville et al. (4,833,239) does teach the use of both a stabilizer (8-hydroxyquinoline) and a solubilizer (isopropanol) at column 4, Example 1. Finally, none of the above references teaches the use of a chelating agent in place of the more traditional RNAse inhibitors. However, it is well in known in the art that

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/058,350

Art Unit: 1623

ribonuclease has an essential zinc ion at the catalytic site. High concentrations of a strong chelating agent will starve the enzyme for its critical zinc ion. Therefore, the claimed RNA isolation reagent, a kit containing said reagent, and a method of using the reagent to isolate RNA would have all been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention wanting a rapid method to purify RNA without the necessity of expensive RNAse inhibitors. The applicant has not shown that the concentration of the phenol solubilizer of 15% is a critical concentration versus the 10% used by Chomczynski. Thus, the claimed invention is prima facie obvious in the absence of clear and convincing evidence to the contrary. Claim 13 further comprises adding a haloalkane, such as chloroform, to the isolation reagent. This, too, is an obvious variation as shown by DeBonville at column 4, Example 1.

Claims 1 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The applicant's invention is a reagent that includes more that any two of the reagents set forth in claim 1. It appears that minimally, one must have the phenol, the non-ionic detergent, and the chelating agent. If this is, in fact, the case, then the claim must be rewritten to always include these essential components.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kunz, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4623. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 09/058,350 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marion Knode, can be reached on (703) 308-4311. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

BARY L. KUNZ PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200